# **PEITC**

## **Public Education Information Technology Committee**

Sub-Committee of ICTL

#### **Idaho Council for Technology in Learning**

## 650 West State Street, Room 302 Boise, ID

November 12, 2002

#### **Attendance**

#### **Committee Members and Guests:**

Pete Black
Vickie Chandler
Deb McGrath
Paula Conley – not present
Karen Ganske
Christopher Gibson – not present
Dan Hawkins
Bill Leaf, PEITC Chair
Mark Kuskie

Carolyn Mauer
Deb McGrath
Ray Mikelson
Rich Mincer
Gretchen Rader
Dawn Wilson
Tracie Zalucha

The Public Education Information Technology Committee meeting began at 9:06 am. PEITC Chair, Bill Leaf asked everyone present to introduce themselves. Following introductions, minutes from the last committee meeting on April 8, 2002 were approved.

# ITRMC Digital Governors Day

ITRMC Digital Governors Day is Monday, January 27, 2003. Dawn Wilson announced to members if they want their district or any other district to be represented during the legislation session, they need to submit a brief abstract to her by December 20, 2002. She will review the abstracts and forward them to Bill Leaf.

#### **Federal Grants**

Dawn gave the following information on federal grants to the committee:

## **TLCF**

Technology Literacy Challenge Fund

- <u>1999</u>:
  - All funds spent
  - Final reports were due January, 2002
- 2000:
  - September 30, 2002 deadline to obligate funds
  - December 20, 2002 deadline for final reports

## **GOALS 2000**

#### Goals 2000-1999

- All funds spent
- Report can be viewed at http://www.sde.state.id.us/bots/goals2000.HTM

#### Goals 2000-2001

- September 30, 2002 deadline to obligate funds
- December 2, 2002 deadline for final reports

## **EETT**

Enhancing Education Through Technology (Title II-D)

- \$3,000,000 allocated for grants (two disbursements of \$1,460,698)
- September 30, 2004 deadline to obligate funds
- Applications: <a href="http://www.sde.state.id.us/bots">http://www.sde.state.id.us/bots</a>
- Competitive Grant
  - Due November 22<sup>nd</sup>
  - Reviewed on December 12th
- Formula Grant
  - 50 districts have approved applications on file so far
- Technology can be purchased with other Title funds

Some members had questions concerning when the grant money was available to the districts. A better communication system was suggested since some members were not aware that the money was available at present.

The direction of Assistive Technology Devices was also discussed.

#### E-Rate

Dawn presented E-Rate information for all regions over the past five years. The E-Rate, or Universal Service Fund is a federal program to bring telecommunications discounts to schools, libraries, and rural health facilities. On a national level, Idaho's numbers are very strong.

	Number of applicants funded Year 1 1/1/1998–6/30/1999	Number of applicants funded Year 2 7/1/1999-6/30/2000	Number of applicants funded Year 3 7/1/2000 – 6/30/2001	Number of applicants funded Year 4 7/1/2001 – 6/30/2002	Number of applicants funded Year 5** 7/1/2002 – 6/30/2003
Region 1	9	8	12	12	1 (out of 13)
Region 2	13	7	14	14	1 (out of 15)
Region 3	14	17	13	17	5 (out of 31)
Region 4	12	12	17	18	1 (out of 23)
Region 5	9	8	8	12	1 (out of 14)
Region 6	9	10	10	14	2 (out of 19)
BIA Schools	1	1	2	1	0 (out of 2)
Libraries	34	29	21	36	9
Private Schools	3	3	4	4	0
Consortiums	3	4	1	5	1
Total dollars	\$4,620,939.80	\$4,949,620.89	\$2,929,855.48	\$4,475,358.43	\$383,336.96

<sup>\*\*</sup>Through Wave 2 of funding commitment letters.

This represents commitments of \$107 million out of \$2.25 billion.

Rich Mincer suggested the committee consider a state application in order to establish a statewide network for application of funds. Concerns included costs and impact on <u>all</u> districts, especially those who would receive a reduction in funds. The committee will discuss this topic at a later date.

# **State Technology Grants**

Dawn gave a PowerPoint presentation and distributed updated information concerning ICTL Phase I and II.

#### **Phase I**

Phase I was completed in June 2002 and was comprised of three surveys:

- 1. School technology inventory
- 2. School technology survey
- 3. District technology survey

Dawn also provided the information collected from these surveys. A report regarding Phase I data, compiled by Dan Hawkins, was also presented to the committee.

### **Phase II**

Phase II is going to be reviewed on December 12<sup>th</sup> by a statewide team of peers. The districts had two options for submission of their Phase II reports this year.

**Option 1:** File based upon the rubric and last years reporting requirements

**Option 2:** File a pilot on-line survey

# 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Student Technology Standards

To meet the new requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, the Idaho State Department of Education asked a statewide team to develop a draft copy of technology standards for eighth-grade students. This draft copy, compiled by a team from many school districts, was presented to the committee.

Dawn reported that the Federal requirement is based upon the reauthorization of ESEA to define what is an 8<sup>th</sup> grade technology literate student in the state of Idaho. A statewide committee met for two days in July and a draft copy was distributed for public comment at several district and regional meetings.

Carolyn gave an update about the progress achieved by her office for 8<sup>th</sup> grade standards. There are nearly 25 courses of study that the curriculum office has compiled.

Rich presented information concerning standards on a national level.

The next steps for eighth-grade standards included the following:

- Review and approval of draft recommendations to be taken to ICTL
- Consideration of the establishment of technology standards for K-12

#### **Motion 1**

Vickie Chandler moved to name the technology standards - Idaho Student Information Technology Standards (ISITS). Carolyn Mauer seconded the motion, vote was taken and all approved.

#### **Motion 2**

Vickie Chandler moved that the committee recommend to ICTL acceptance of the 8<sup>th</sup> grade technology standards DRAFT as written. Deb McGrath seconded the motion, vote was taken and all approved.

# **State Technology Plan**

A state technology plan is required for federal funding as per the reauthorization of ESEA. A draft was organized in collaboration with a developed partnership with Sheryl Bishop of Effective Solutions, Inc. in Fall 2001. Additionally, a statewide committee met for two days in August and a draft copy was presented for public comment at several district and regional meetings.

Bill Leaf and Dawn Wilson gave an overview of information the committee should consider during the revision process. Many research categories and goals were considered along with discussion of the draft copy.

All committee members participated in discussion for changes to the draft State Technology Plan.

# **Adjournment**

A Task Force meeting was set for January 21, 2003 to reorganize Phase I. The meeting was adjourned by Chair, Bill Leaf at 2:40 pm.